

UNIQUENESS OF STATIC DECOMPOSITIONS

MANUEL GUTIÉRREZ AND BENJAMÍN OLEA

ABSTRACT. We classify static manifolds which admit more than one static decomposition whenever a condition on the curvature is fulfilled. For this, we take a standard static vector field and analyze its projections onto the base, which is also decomposed as a static manifold. Moreover, we show that certain condition on the lightlike sectional curvature ensures the uniqueness of static decomposition for Lorentzian manifolds.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C50; Secondary 53C80.

Key words and phrases: Static space, static vector field, isometric decomposition, lightlike sectional curvature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given (L, g_L) a connected Riemannian manifold, $f \in C^\infty(L)$ a positive function and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, we call *static manifold* to a product $L \times \mathbb{R}$ furnished with the metric $g_L + \varepsilon f^2 dt^2$, which is denoted by $L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$. To follow standard definitions [6], we refer to the case $\varepsilon = -1$ as *static space* instead of static manifold. Although static manifold is not a common term, it allows us to handle jointly the Riemannian and the Lorentzian case.

A vector field is irrotational if it has integrable orthogonal distribution. It is well known that a manifold furnished with an irrotational and killing vector field can be decomposed as a static manifold, at least locally. Due to this, such a vector field is called *static* and when it gives rise to a global decomposition, it is called *standard static*, [7]. Obviously, the existence of two different static decompositions is equivalent to the existence of two standard static vector fields linearly independent at some point. Anti De Sitter and Minkowski spaces provide us good examples of manifolds with different decompositions, since they are symmetric and static, but there are many other manifolds which can be also decomposed as a static manifold in different ways .

The decomposition uniqueness problem has been studied by several authors. The De Rham-Wu Theorem ensures the uniqueness of a direct product decomposition for a simply connected semi-Riemannian manifold (for the nonsimply connected case see [1] and [3]) and the uniqueness of Generalized Robertson-Walker decomposition was studied in [2], obtaining that

First author was supported in part by MEYC-FEDER Grant MTM2007-60016.

the De Sitter space is the only complete space with several nontrivial decomposition, whereas Friedmann spaces have a unique decomposition even locally.

The uniqueness of static decomposition seems more complicated and only partial results have been obtained. In [7] it is shown that static spaces with compact base do not admit another global static decomposition and in [9] it is computed Riemannian three dimensional metrics which can be used to construct Einstein static spacetimes in more than one way. On the other hand, it is a remarkable fact that for the exterior Schwarzschild spacetime the uniqueness can be directly shown. Indeed, any timelike killing vector field is proportional to the canonical one, [6]. Apart from these results, no much more is known about this topic.

In this paper we study manifolds with more than one static decomposition, with special emphasis on the Lorentzian case. For this, we consider a static manifold with a standard static vector field linearly independent to the canonical one at some point. The projection onto the base gives us a family of vector fields, which we use to decompose the base itself as a static manifold. This allows us to prove in Proposition 4.7 that these manifolds are a special type of warped product and, under a mild curvature hypothesis about the base or Einstein assumption, we classify them in Theorem 5.1 and 5.2 respectively. We particularize to Einstein spacetimes in Theorem 5.4 and finally, in Theorem 5.6, we show that in the Lorentzian case the uniqueness is guaranteed if the lightlike sectional curvature at a point is never zero.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Given a product manifold $L \times \mathbb{R}$, the lift of ∂_t is still denoted by ∂_t , however, given $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ we will denote by $\tilde{X}$ its lift. We call $\pi : L \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L$ the canonical projection and $i_t : L \rightarrow L \times \mathbb{R}$ the injection given by $i_t(p) = (p, t)$. We will usually avoid π in the formulae to lighten the notation.

If $V \in \mathfrak{X}(L \times \mathbb{R})$ we call $V_p^t = \pi_{*(p,t)}(V)$, which is a vector field on L for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Fixed $p \in L$, V_p^t is a curve in $T_p L$ and thus we can consider the vectorial derivative $\frac{d}{dt} V_p^t \in T_p L$, obtaining in this way another vector field on L for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, if $h \in C^\infty(L \times \mathbb{R})$ then we call h^t the function on L given by $h^t = h \circ i_t$, but h_t will mean the derivative respect to t .

Recall that any vector field on a two or one dimensional manifold is irrotational. By convention, in a one dimensional manifold, the orthogonal leaf of a vector field through a point simply means this point. This will allow us to prove some results without distinguishing cases.

We denote by $\mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2$ the Euclidean/Minkowski plane $(\mathbb{R}^2, ds^2 + \varepsilon dt^2)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$ the hyperbolic/anti De Sitter plane $(\mathbb{R}^2, ds^2 + \varepsilon \cosh^2(rs) dt^2)$ of curvature $-r^2$. By $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$ we denote the static manifold $(\mathbb{R}^2, ds^2 + \varepsilon e^{2rs} dt^2)$,

which is another representation of the hyperbolic plane if $\varepsilon = 1$ and a piece of the anti De Sitter plane if $\varepsilon = -1$. To simplify this notation, we also denote $\mathbb{H}_{[1]}^2(r)$ by $\mathbb{H}^2(r)$ and $\mathbb{R}_{[1]}^2$ by $\mathbb{R}^2$.

The Levi-Civita connection and curvature formulae in a static manifold are well known. We resume here what we will use in the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold and $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$. Then*

- (1) $\nabla_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{Y} = \widetilde{\nabla_X Y}$.
- (2) $\nabla_{\tilde{X}} \partial_t = \nabla_{\partial_t} \tilde{X} = \frac{X(f)}{f} \partial_t$.
- (3) $\nabla_{\partial_t} \partial_t = -\varepsilon f \widetilde{\nabla f}$.
- (4) $R(\tilde{X}, \partial_t, \partial_t) = -\varepsilon f \widetilde{\nabla_X \nabla f}$.
- (5) $R(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = R^L(X, Y, Z)$.
- (6) $R(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \partial_t) = 0$.

If Π is a degenerate plane in a Lorentzian manifold of dimension greater than two, the lightlike sectional curvature of Π is defined as $\mathcal{K}_u(\Pi) = \frac{g(R(v, u, u), v)}{g(v, v)}$, where $u, v \in \Pi$ with u lightlike and v spacelike. This curvature depends on the choosen u , but the sign does not depend on it. Thus, we can say zero lightlike sectional curvature without explicit mention of the choosen lightlike vector. Using the above Lemma we can easily compute the lightlike sectional curvature in a static space.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $M = L \times_{-f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static space with $\dim L \geq 2$ and take $v, w \in TL$ unitary vectors with $v \perp w$. If $\Pi = \text{span}(v, u)$, where $u = w + \frac{1}{f} \partial_t$, then*

$$\mathcal{K}_u(\Pi) = K^L(\text{span}(v, w)) + \frac{g(\nabla_v \widetilde{\nabla f}, v)}{f},$$

being K^L the sectional curvature of L .

Although the following is well known, we include the proof because it clarifies the below remark.

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be a simply connected complete Lorentzian or Riemannian manifold of dimension greater than one. If V is a static vector field without zeros (timelike in the Lorentzian case), then V is standard and therefore M can be decomposed as a static manifold $L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$, where ∂_t is identified with V .*

Proof. Take Φ the flow of V , L an orthogonal leaf and $\sigma : L \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ the map given by $\sigma(t, p) = \Phi_t(p)$. Since $\Phi_t : M \rightarrow M$ is an isometry for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ which preserves V , it takes orthogonal leaves of V into orthogonal leaves. Therefore, it is easy to show that $\cup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \Phi_t(L)$ is open and closed in M and thus σ is onto and a local diffeomorphism. But being M complete and simply connected, it is a global diffeomorphism which identifies ∂_t with V . Now, take the pull-back metric $\sigma^*(g)$ which makes σ an isometry. If

$v \in T_p L \prec T_p M$ then

$$|(v_p, 0_t)| = |\sigma_{*(p,t)}(v_p, 0_t)| = |(\Phi_t)_* v_p| = |v_p| = |\sigma_{*(p,0)}(v_p)| = |(v_p, 0_0)|,$$

where we have taken into account that $\sigma|_{L \times \{0\}} = id$.

On the other hand, if we call $f(p, t) = |V_{\sigma(p,t)}|$ then $f(p, t) = f(p, 0)$. Therefore, we can conclude that $\sigma^*(g) = g|_L + \varepsilon f^2 dt^2$, where ε is the sign of V . $\square$

Remark 2.4. *In the above proposition, we have used that M is complete and simply connected only to ensure that $\sigma : L \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is injective. Instead of this, we can ensure the injectivity of σ , and thus the global decomposition of M , supposing that integral curves of V are complete and only intersect each orthogonal leaf one time. Anyway, although we do not suppose completeness nor simply connectedness, we can still obtain a local decomposition.*

3. KILLING VECTOR FIELDS IN STATIC MANIFOLDS

In order to tackle the uniqueness problem, we start studying killing vector fields in a static manifold.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold and $V = a\partial_t + W$, where $a \in C^\infty(M)$ and $W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ with $W \perp \partial_t$. Then V is a killing vector field if and only if V^t is a killing vector field on L for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the following equations hold*

$$(1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} V^t = -\varepsilon f^2 \nabla^L a^t,$$

$$(2) \quad V^t(\ln f) = -a_t.$$

Proof. Since $L \times \{t\}$ is a geodesic hypersurface of M , it is straightforward that V^t is a killing field on L for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We state equations (1) and (2).

Given $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$, from $g(\nabla_{\partial_t} V, \tilde{X}) = -g(\nabla_{\tilde{X}} V, \partial_t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} ag(\nabla_{\partial_t} \partial_t, \tilde{X}) + g(\nabla_{\partial_t} W, \tilde{X}) = \\ -\varepsilon f^2 \tilde{X}(a) - ag(\nabla_{\tilde{X}} \partial_t, \partial_t) - g(\nabla_{\tilde{X}} W, \partial_t). \end{aligned}$$

Since ∂_t is Killing and $g(\nabla_{\tilde{X}} W, \partial_t) = -g(W, \nabla_{\tilde{X}} \partial_t) = 0$, the above simplifies to

$$g(\nabla_{\partial_t} W, \tilde{X}) = -\varepsilon f^2 \tilde{X}(a).$$

We compute each term at a point $(p_0, t_0) \in L \times \mathbb{R}$. The projection $\pi : L \times \{t_0\} \rightarrow L$ is an isometry, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\partial_t} W, \tilde{X})_{(p_0, t_0)} &= \partial_t|_{(p_0, t_0)} g(W, \tilde{X}) = \frac{d}{dt} g(W, \tilde{X})_{(p_0, t)}|_{t=t_0} = \\ \frac{d}{dt} g_L(\pi_{*(p_0, t)}(W), X_{p_0})|_{t=t_0} &= \frac{d}{dt} g_L(W_{p_0}^t, X_{p_0})|_{t=t_0} = \\ g_L\left(\frac{d}{dt} W_{p_0}^t|_{t=t_0}, X_{p_0}\right) &= g_L\left(\frac{d}{dt} V_{p_0}^t|_{t=t_0}, X_{p_0}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if γ is an integral curve of X , then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}(a)_{(p_0, t_0)} &= \frac{d}{dt}a(\gamma(t), t_0)|_{t=t_0} = \frac{d}{dt}a^{t_0}(\gamma(t))|_{t=t_0} \\ &= X_{p_0}(a^{t_0}) = g(\nabla a^{t_0}, X_{p_0}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\frac{d}{dt}V^t = -\varepsilon f^2 \nabla^L a^t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

From $g(\nabla_{\partial_t} V, \partial_t) = 0$ it follows $g(\nabla_{\partial_t} W, \partial_t) = -\varepsilon f^2 a_t$. But

$$\begin{aligned}g(\nabla_{\partial_t} W, \partial_t) &= -g(W, \nabla_{\partial_t} \partial_t) = \varepsilon f g(W, \widetilde{\nabla} f) \\ &= \varepsilon f W^t(f) = \varepsilon f V^t(f),\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $V^t(\ln f) = -a_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The “only if” part is clear. $\square$

Observe that being $\frac{d}{dt}V^t$ the vectorial derivative of V^t , it is also a killing vector field.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold with L complete. If $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a killing vector field, then one of the following holds.*

- (1) $V = (a_1 t + a_2) \partial_t + \widetilde{W}$ where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ is a killing vector field with $W(\ln f) = -a_1$.
- (2) L decomposes as a static manifold $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$ and $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$ for certain $c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Decompose $V = a \partial_t + W$ where $a \in C^\infty(M)$ and $W \perp \partial_t$. Using Proposition 3.1, V^t is a killing field on L and $\frac{d}{dt}V^t = -\varepsilon f^2 \nabla^L a^t$. Therefore, $\frac{d}{dt}V^t$ is a killing and irrotational vector field for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, take γ a geodesic in L with $\gamma(0) = p$ and call $y(s) = a^t(\gamma(s))$. Then $y_s = g_L(\nabla^L a^t, \gamma')$ and

$$\begin{aligned}y_{ss} &= g_L(\nabla_{\gamma'}^L \nabla^L a^t, \gamma') = \varepsilon \frac{2(f \circ \gamma)_s}{(f \circ \gamma)^3} g_L\left(\frac{d}{dt}V^t, \gamma'\right) = -\frac{2(f \circ \gamma)_s}{f \circ \gamma} g_L(\nabla^L a^t, \gamma') \\ &= -2(\ln f \circ \gamma)_s y_s.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $y_s(s) = y_s(0) \left(\frac{f(p)}{f(\gamma(s))}\right)^2$. If $\frac{d}{dt}V_p^t = 0$ then $y_s \equiv 0$ and a^t is constant in a neighborhood of p , which implies that $\frac{d}{dt}V^t = 0$ in this same neighborhood. Since L is supposed connected, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there is two possibilities: $\frac{d}{dt}V^t \equiv 0$ or it does not have any zero.

If $\frac{d}{dt}V^t \equiv 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $V = a \partial_t + \widetilde{W}$ where $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ is a killing field. Moreover, by equation (1), a only depends on t and equation (2) implies that there is a constant $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_t = -W(\ln f) = a_1$, from which the first assertion follows.

Suppose on the contrary that there is some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{d}{dt}V^{t_0}$ has not zeros. If $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L$ is an integral curve of $\frac{d}{dt}V^{t_0}$, then $\frac{d}{ds}a^{t_0}(\alpha(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, since a is constant trough the orthogonal leaves of $\frac{d}{dt}V^{t_0}$, α only intersects them one time, which implies that L decomposes as a static manifold $N \times_\lambda \mathbb{R}$, where $\partial_s = \frac{d}{dt}V^{t_0}$ (see Remark 2.4). Since

$\partial_s = -\varepsilon f^2 \nabla a^{t_0}$, then a^{t_0} only depends on s and a direct computation gives us $\nabla a^{t_0} = \frac{a_s^{t_0}}{\lambda^2} \partial_s$. Replacing in the above equation, $f^2 = -\varepsilon \frac{\lambda^2}{a_s^{t_0}}$ and thus $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$, where $c(s) = \sqrt{\frac{-\varepsilon}{a_s^{t_0}}}$. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Recall that in the above theorem, as in other results in this paper, when L is one dimensional the factor N is a point and therefore can be removed.

Corollary 3.4. If $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ is a static manifold with L compact, then any killing vector field is of the form $a\partial_t + \tilde{W}$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ is a killing vector field with $W(f) = 0$.

Proof. Since L is compact, only the first case of the above theorem holds. Moreover, f must have a critical point and so $a_1 = 0$. $\square$

A condition on the lightlike sectional curvature also gives us information about killing vector fields.

Corollary 3.5. Let $M = L \times_{-f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static space with L complete and dimension greater than one. If there is a point $(p_0, t_0) \in M$ such that $\mathcal{K}(\Pi) \neq 0$ for any degenerate plane Π of $T_{(p_0, t_0)}M$, then any killing vector field is of the form $(a_1 t + a_2)\partial_t + \tilde{W}$, where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ is a killing vector field with $W(\ln f) = -a_1$.

Proof. Suppose that L can be decomposed as $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$, being p_0 identified with (x_0, s_0) , and $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$. Take a unitary vector $v \in T_{x_0}N$ and Π the degenerate plane spanned by v and $u = \frac{1}{\lambda(x_0)}\partial_s - \frac{1}{f(s_0, x_0)}\partial_t$.

We know that $\mathcal{K}_u(\Pi) = K^L(\text{span}(v, \partial_s)) + \frac{g(\nabla_v \tilde{\nabla} f, v)}{f}$, but being L also a static manifold,

$$K^L(\text{span}(v, \partial_s)) = -\frac{g(\nabla_v \tilde{\nabla} \lambda, v)}{\lambda},$$

$$g(\nabla_v \tilde{\nabla} f, v) = cg(\nabla_v \tilde{\nabla} \lambda, v).$$

Thus $\mathcal{K}_u(\Pi) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Applying Theorem 3.2 we get the conclusion. $\square$

4. STANDARD STATIC VECTOR FIELDS

In this section, we show that if a static manifold M admits two different static decompositions, then the base itself is a static manifold and M can be viewed as a special type of warped product. A standard static vector field in a Lorentzian manifold will be always supposed timelike.

Proposition 4.1. Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold. If $V = a\partial_t + W$, where $a \in C^\infty(M)$ and $W \perp \partial_t$, is a static vector field, then V^t is a static

vector field on L for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if $\dim L \geq 2$, in the open set $\{p \in L : a^t \neq 0, V^t \neq 0\}$ it does hold

$$(3) \quad X(\ln(a^t f)) = X\left(\ln \sqrt{g(V^t, V^t)}\right)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ with $X \perp V^t$.

Proof. The first assertion follows easily because $L \times \{t\}$ are geodesic hypersurfaces. Suppose that $\dim L \geq 2$ and take $\xi = -\varepsilon g(W, W)\partial_t + af^2W$, which is a vector field orthogonal to V . Since V is static, $g(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}V, \xi) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ with $X \perp W$. But

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}V, \xi) &= \left(\tilde{X}(a) + a\tilde{X}(\ln f)\right)g(\partial_t, \xi) + g(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}W, \xi) = \\ &= -\left(\tilde{X}(a) + a\tilde{X}(\ln f)\right)f^2g(W, W) + \frac{af^2}{2}\tilde{X}(g(W, W)) \\ &= -(X(a^t) + a^tX(\ln f))g(V^t, V^t)f^2 + \frac{a^tf^2}{2}X(g(V^t, V^t)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(X(a^t) + a^tX(\ln f))g(V^t, V^t) = \frac{a^t}{2}X(g(V^t, V^t))$. Where $a^t \neq 0$ and $V^t \neq 0$, we can write

$$X(\ln(a^t f)) = X\left(\ln \sqrt{g(V^t, V^t)}\right).$$

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold with L complete and V a static vector field linearly independent to ∂_t at some point. Then it holds the following.*

- (1) *For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, V^t is identically zero or it does not have zeros. In fact, there exists a dense open subset $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that the second statement holds for all $t \in \Theta$.*
- (2) *If moreover V is standard, then V^t is standard in L for each $t \in \Theta$. So, fixed $t \in \Theta$, L decomposes as $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$ where V^t is identified with ∂_s .*

Proof.

- (1) Fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, call $A = \{p \in L : V \text{ and } \partial_t \text{ are l.i. at } (p, t)\}$ and $B = A^c$. It is clear that A is open and since the orthogonal leaf of V and ∂_t are geodesic, B is also open. Therefore, $A = L$ and thus V^t does not have zeros or $B = L$ and $V^t \equiv 0$. Now, call $\Theta = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : V^t \text{ does not have zeros}\}$, which obviously is open. If $V^t \equiv 0$ for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, then V and ∂_t are linearly dependent in $L \times (-\delta, \delta)$, but since they are killing vector fields, they must be linearly dependent in the whole M , which is a contradiction. Therefore Θ is dense.
- (2) Given $t \in \Theta$, using the above point and Proposition 4.1, we know that V^t is a static vector field without zeros in L . We show that it gives rise to a global decomposition. Call $F_{(p,t)}$ the orthogonal leaf

of V trough (p, t) and N the orthogonal leaf of V^t trough p , which is inside $\pi(L \times \{t\} \cap F_{(p,t)})$. Take α an intergral curve of V^t with $\alpha(0) \in N$ and suppose that there is $s > 0$ with $\alpha(s) \in N$. Since V is standard, there is a global projection $P : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that it is constant trough the orthogonal leaves of V and $P_{*(p,t)}(v)$ gives the component in the direction of $V_{(p,t)}$ of any vector $v \in T_{(p,t)}M$. If we call $\gamma(s) = (\alpha(s), t)$, then $P(\gamma(s))$ has a critical point $s_1 \in (0, s)$, because $\gamma(0), \gamma(s) \in F_{(p,t)}$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} g(V_{\alpha(s_1)}^t, V_{\alpha(s_1)}^t) &= g(\gamma'(s_1), V_{\gamma(s_1)}) = \\ P_{*\gamma(s_1)}(\gamma'(s_1)) g(V_{\gamma(s_1)}, V_{\gamma(s_1)}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Using Remark 2.4, L can be decomposed as $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$, where ∂_s is identified with V^t . $\square$

As a consequence of the above proposition, we can prove the main result of [7] in a different way.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold with L compact. Then any other standard static vector field is proportional to ∂_t and so M admits a unique decomposition as a static manifold.*

The following Proposition will be the key to prove our main results.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold with L complete and V a standard static vector field linearly independent to ∂_t at a point (p_0, t_0) . If V^t is proportional to V^{t_0} for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then M is isometric to one of the following.*

- (1) $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon f(x)^2 dt^2)$ and $V = \partial_s$.
- (2) $(N \times \mathbb{H}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r), g_N + \lambda(x)^2(ds^2 + \varepsilon \cosh^2(rs) dt^2))$ and

$$V = \left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r} h_t(t) \tanh(rs) + \gamma \right) \partial_t + h(t) \partial_s,$$

where $h(t) = \alpha \sin(rt + \beta)$ if $\varepsilon = -1$ or $h(t) = \alpha e^{rt} + \beta e^{-rt}$ if $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (3) $(N \times \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r), g_N + \lambda(x)^2(ds^2 + \varepsilon e^{2rs} dt^2))$ and

$$V = \left(\frac{\varepsilon \alpha}{2r} e^{-2rs} - \frac{r \alpha}{2} t^2 - r \beta t + \gamma \right) \partial_t + (\alpha t + \beta) \partial_s,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$

- (4) $(N \times \mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2(ds^2 + \varepsilon dt^2))$ and $V = \gamma \partial_t + \partial_s$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Suppose that $V^t = h(t)V^{t_0}$ for some $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $h(t_0) = 1$. Proposition 4.2 ensures that L decomposes as $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$ where ∂_s is identified with V^{t_0} .

If $X \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$, using equation (1) of Proposition 3.1 we get $g(X, \nabla a^t) = 0$ and thus a only depends on s and t . On the other hand, multiplying by V^{t_0} in equation (1) we get

$$(4) \quad a_s(t, s) = -\varepsilon \frac{h_t(t) \lambda(x)^2}{f(x, s)^2},$$

and equation (2) of Proposition 3.1 can be written as

$$(5) \quad a_t(s, t) = -h(t)(\ln f)_s.$$

Now we consider two possibilities:

1) $a \equiv 0$. Then above equations give us that $h \equiv 1$, and f only depends on x , i.e., M is isometric to $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon f(x)^2 dt^2)$ and $V = \partial_s$.

2) There is a point (s_0, t_0) with $a(s_0, t_0) \neq 0$. Take

$$A = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a(s, t) \neq 0\}.$$

Equation (3) of Proposition 4.1 reduces to $X(\ln f) = X(\ln \lambda)$ in $N \times A$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$, which implies that there is certain function c such that $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$ for all $(x, s, t) \in N \times A$.

Take $B = (\overline{A})^c$. If $B = \emptyset$, then by continuity $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$ for all $(x, s, t) \in N \times \mathbb{R}^2$. If $B \neq \emptyset$, then $a \equiv 0$ in $N \times B$ and so f only depends on x , i.e. $f(x, s) = F(x)$ for all $(x, s, t) \in N \times B$ where F is certain function. Since $\lambda(x)c(s) = F(x)$ for all $(x, s, t) \in Fr(N \times A) = N \times Fr(A)$, it is easy to show that c can be extended to the whole $\mathbb{R}$ and, with this extension, it holds $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$ for all $(x, s) \in N \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

If we call $S = \mathbb{R} \times_{\varepsilon c} \mathbb{R}$, then M is the warped product $N \times_\lambda S$. We now classify this surface S . Equations (4) and (5) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} a_s &= -\varepsilon \frac{h_t(t)}{c(s)^2}, \\ a_t &= -h(t)(\ln c(s))_s, \end{aligned}$$

and using the Schwarz's Theorem we get the differential equations

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{ss}(s)c(s) - c_s(s)^2 &= k, \\ h_{tt}(t) &= \varepsilon k h(t) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $k \in \mathbb{R}$. The solutions of (6) are

- $c(s) = \frac{\sqrt{-k}}{r} \sinh(rs + b)$ or $c(s) = \frac{\sqrt{-k}}{r} \sin(rs + b)$ if $k < 0$.
- $c(s) = e^{rs+b}$ if $k = 0$.
- $c(s) = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{r} \cosh(rs + b)$ if $k > 0$.

Since $c(s) > 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we can discard the case $k < 0$. In the case $k > 0$, solving the above differential equations, there are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$V = \left(\frac{r\alpha}{\sqrt{k}} \cos(\sqrt{k}t + \beta) \tanh(rs + b) + \gamma \right) \partial_t + \alpha \sin(\sqrt{k}t + \beta) \partial_s$$

if $\varepsilon = -1$ or

$$V = \left(-\frac{r}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\alpha e^{\sqrt{k}t} - \beta e^{-\sqrt{k}t} \right) \tanh(rs + b) + \gamma \right) \partial_t + \left(\alpha e^{\sqrt{k}t} + \beta e^{-\sqrt{k}t} \right) \partial_s$$

if $\varepsilon = 1$. Now, we obtain point (2) rescaling with $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $\Phi(s, t) = (s + \frac{b}{r}, \frac{\sqrt{k}}{r}t)$.

If $k = 0$, we can suppose $b = 0$ rescaling s , and thus $c(s) = e^{rs}$ with $r \neq 0$ or $c(s) = 1$. In the first case, $S = \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$ and

$$V = \left(\frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{2r} e^{-2rs} - \frac{r\alpha}{2} t^2 - r\beta t + \gamma \right) \partial_t + (\alpha t + \beta) \partial_s.$$

In the second case $S = \mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2$, $a(s, t) = -\varepsilon\alpha s + \gamma$ and $h(t) = \alpha t + \beta$. But $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ because V does not have zeros and $h(t_0) = 1$. $\square$

Remark 4.5. *The case $N \times_\lambda \mathbb{H}^2(r)$ and $N \times_\lambda \widehat{\mathbb{H}}^2(r)$ are equivalent since $\mathbb{H}^2(r)$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^2(r)$ are isometric spaces. However, $N \times_\lambda \mathbb{H}_{[-1]}^2(r)$ and $N \times_\lambda \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[-1]}^2(r)$ are not equivalent because $\mathbb{H}_{[-1]}^2(r)$ is complete and $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[-1]}^2(r)$ is not.*

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a complete two dimensional static manifold. If there exists V a non-identically zero killing vector field linearly independent to ∂_t at some point, then M is isometric to $\mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2$ or $\mathbb{H}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$.*

Proof. Since $\dim L = 1$, V is also irrotational and V^t is linearly dependent to a fixed V^{t_0} for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the proof of Proposition 4.4 works with N reduced to a point, although V is not necessarily standard and, maybe, with zeros. $\square$

Now, we show that a manifold with more than one static decomposition is a particular type of warped product.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold with L complete. If there exists V a standard static vector field linearly independent to ∂_t at some point, then M decomposes as $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon f(x)^2 dt^2)$ and $V = \partial_s$ or L decomposes as $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$ and $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$, i.e., M is the warped product $N \times_\lambda (\mathbb{R} \times_c \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Using Theorem 3.2, M decomposes as a warped product $N \times_\lambda (\mathbb{R} \times_c \mathbb{R})$ or $V = (a_1 t + a_2) \partial_t + \widetilde{W}$ where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$. But in this last case, we can apply Proposition 4.4 to obtain that M may also be decomposed as $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon f(x)^2 dt^2)$ and $V = \partial_s$ or again as $N \times_\lambda (\mathbb{R} \times_c \mathbb{R})$. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let $M = L \times_\varepsilon \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold with L complete and constant warping function. If V is a standard static vector field which is linearly independent to ∂_t at some point, then M is isometric to $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon dt^2)$ where $V = \partial_s$ or to a direct product $N \times \mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2$.*

5. MAIN RESULTS

We are already able to classify, under a curvature hypothesis, manifolds with more than one static decomposition. We start assuming that the base has a point with positive curvature.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static manifold with L complete and dimension greater than one. Suppose that there exists a standard static vector field V linearly independent to ∂_t at some point and there is $p \in L$ with $K^L(\Pi) > 0$ for any plane Π of $T_p L$. Then M is isometric to*

- (1) $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon f(x)^2 dt^2)$ and $V = \partial_s$.
- (2) $(N \times \mathbb{H}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r), g_N + \lambda(x)^2 (ds^2 + \varepsilon \cosh^2(rs) dt^2))$ and

$$V = \left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{r} h_t(t) \tanh(rs) + \gamma \right) \partial_t + h(t) \partial_s,$$

where $h(t) = \alpha \sin(rt + \beta)$ if $\varepsilon = -1$ or $h(t) = \alpha e^{rt} + \beta e^{-rt}$ if $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (3) $(N \times \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r), g_N + \lambda(x)^2 (ds^2 + \varepsilon e^{2rs} dt^2))$ and

$$V = \left(\frac{\varepsilon \alpha}{2r} e^{-2rs} - \frac{r \alpha}{2} t^2 - r \beta t + \gamma \right) \partial_t + (\alpha t + \beta) \partial_s,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (4) $(N \times \mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 (ds^2 + \varepsilon dt^2))$ and $V = \gamma \partial_t + \partial_s$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction over $\dim L$. Suppose first that $\dim L = 2$. Using Proposition 4.2, V^t is standard static for t in a dense open set Θ . Fix $t_0 \in \Theta$ and decompose L as $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$ where $\partial_s = V^{t_0}$. If there is a $t_1 \in \Theta$ with V^{t_1} and ∂_s linearly independent at some point, then Lemma 4.6 ensures $L = \mathbb{H}^2$ or $L = \mathbb{R}^2$, which contradicts the curvature hypothesis. Therefore V^t is linearly dependent to ∂_s for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and Proposition 4.4 proves the statement.

Now, assuming the statement for $\dim L = n - 1$, we will prove it for $\dim L = n$. As before, $L = (N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$, where $\partial_s = V^{t_0}$. If there is $t \in \Theta$ with V^t linearly independent to ∂_s at some point, applying the induction hypothesis, L is isometric to a warped product $S \times_{\mu} \mathbb{H}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$, $S \times_{\mu} \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$, $S \times_{\mu} \mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2$ or to $(S \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_S + \mu(z)^2 du^2 + \lambda(z)^2 ds^2)$ where $V^t = \partial_u$ and $V^{t_0} = \partial_s$. In the first three cases, any tangent plane to the fibre has nonpositive curvature and should be discarded. In the last case, V^t is orthogonal to V^{t_0} and we can obtain a contradiction using the continuity of V^t respect to t . Therefore, V^t must be linearly dependent to ∂_s for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and applying Proposition 4.4 we get the result. $\square$

We also obtain the same classification if the manifold is Einstein.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $M = L \times_{\varepsilon f} \mathbb{R}$ be an Einstein static manifold with L complete and dimension greater than one. If there exists a standard static*

vector field V linearly independent to ∂_t at some point, then M is isometric to $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon f(x)^2 dt^2)$ where $V = \partial_s$ or to a warped product $N \times_\lambda \mathbb{H}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$, $N \times_\lambda \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$ or $N \times_\lambda \mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2$.

Proof. Using Proposition 4.7, M is $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon f(x)^2 dt^2)$ and $V = \partial_s$ or L decomposed as $(N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$ and $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$. Suppose the second one. Since M is Einstein, there is $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(7) \quad Ric^L(X, Y) = \frac{1}{f} H_f(X, Y) + \delta g(X, Y) \text{ for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L),$$

but being L also a static manifold,

$$\begin{aligned} Ric^L(X, Y) &= Ric^N(X, Y) - \frac{1}{\lambda} H_\lambda(X, Y) \text{ for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N), \\ Ric^L(\partial_s, \partial_s) &= -\lambda \Delta^N \lambda, \\ H_f(X, Y) &= c H_\lambda(X, Y) \text{ for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N), \\ H_f(\partial_s, \partial_s) &= \lambda (cg(\nabla \lambda, \nabla \lambda) + c_{ss}). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in equation (7), we get

$$(8) \quad Ric^N(X, Y) = \frac{2}{\lambda} H_\lambda(X, Y) + \delta g(X, Y) \text{ for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N),$$

$$(9) \quad -\lambda \Delta^N \lambda = g(\nabla \lambda, \nabla \lambda) + \frac{c_{ss}}{c} + \delta \lambda^2.$$

From equation (9) we conclude that $\frac{c_{ss}}{c} = a$ for certain constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since L is complete, necessarily $a = r^2$ and therefore $c(s) = e^{rs+\beta}$ or $c(s) = \alpha \cosh(rs + \beta)$. Rescaling we obtain that M is isometric to $N \times_\lambda \mathbb{H}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$, $N \times_\lambda \widehat{\mathbb{H}}_{[\varepsilon]}^2(r)$ or $N \times_\lambda \mathbb{R}_{[\varepsilon]}^2$. $\square$

Remark 5.3. *Arguments used in Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 also work locally, so we can avoid the completeness of the base obtaining similar conclusions. More concretely, we would obtain that a neighborhood of the point where ∂_t and V (being V static but nonnecessarily standard) are linearly independent, is isometric to $(N \times \mathbb{R}^2, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2 + \varepsilon f(x)^2 dt^2)$ where $V = \partial_s$ or to a warped product $N \times_\lambda S$, where S is a surface of constant curvature.*

Now, we particularize the above to the case of a (four dimensional) space-time. In the following theorem it is shown that a fundamental component of static Einstein spacetimes with different decompositions are the Riemannian surfaces

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{k_1 + \frac{k_2}{u} + k_3 u^2} du^2 + (k_1 + \frac{k_2}{u} + k_3 u^2) dv^2,$$

where $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe that surfaces of constant curvature 1, -1 and 0 are included in this family for an appropriate choice of the constants.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $M = L \times_{-f} \mathbb{R}$ be an Einstein static spacetime. If there exists a standard static vector field linearly independent to ∂_t at some point, then almost every point in M has a neighborhood isometric to a direct product of two surfaces with the same constant curvature or to a warped product $\Gamma \times_u S$, where Γ is a surface as the one given in (10) and S has constant curvature.*

Moreover, if L is complete and M is Ricci-flat, then the universal covering of M is isometric to Minkowski space.

Proof. As it is said in Remark 5.3, since L is not supposed complete, from Theorem 5.2 we only obtain that M is locally a warped product $N \times_\lambda S$, where S has constant curvature (the other case in the remark should be discarded because a standard static vector field in a Lorentzian manifold is supposed timelike). Since $\dim N = 2$, we have $\text{Ric}^N = Kg$, being K the curvature of N , and thus equation (8) transforms to

$$(11) \quad H_\lambda = \frac{\lambda(K - \delta)}{2}g.$$

Taking trace we get

$$(12) \quad \Delta^N \lambda = \lambda(K - \delta)$$

and replacing in equation (9) we have

$$(13) \quad -\lambda^2 K = g(\nabla \lambda, \nabla \lambda) + a.$$

If λ is constant, then N has constant curvature $K = \frac{-a}{\lambda^2}$ and rescaling the metric of S , M is locally the direct product of two surfaces with the same constant curvature.

Suppose now that λ is not constant. Differentiating the above equation, $-\lambda dK = (3K - \delta)d\lambda$ and thus $\lambda^3 K = b + \frac{\delta}{3}\lambda^3$, for certain $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, equation (13) can be written as

$$(14) \quad -\frac{b}{\lambda} - \frac{\delta}{3}\lambda^2 = g(\nabla \lambda, \nabla \lambda) + a.$$

Equation (11) means that $\nabla \lambda$ is conformal and, since it is not constant, its critical points are isolated. Moreover, in a neighborhood of any point where $\nabla \lambda \neq 0$, N is a warped product $(\mathbb{R}^2, du^2 + \lambda_u^2 dv^2)$ being $\partial_u = \frac{\nabla \lambda}{|\nabla \lambda|}$, [5, 8].

In this coordinates, equation (14) is

$$(15) \quad \lambda_u^2 = -a - \frac{b}{\lambda} - \frac{\delta}{3}\lambda^2,$$

and reparametrizing with $(u, v) \mapsto (\lambda(u), v)$, N is locally isometric to

$$\frac{1}{h(u)}du^2 + h(u)dv^2,$$

where $h(u) = -a - \frac{b}{u} - \frac{\delta}{3}u^2$. This proves the first part.

For the second one, suppose that L is complete and $\delta = 0$. We already know that $a = r^2 \geq 0$ and then it follows $b \leq 0$. If λ is not constant, then it has at most two critical point. We discuss each possibility (see [5]).

- λ has not critical points. Then N is globally isometric to a warped product $du^2 + \lambda_u^2 dv^2$. Differentiating (15), $\lambda_{uu} \leq 0$ and since λ is defined in the whole $\mathbb{R}$, it becomes negative. Contradiction
- λ has only one critical point x_0 . Then $N - \{x_0\}$ is isometric to a warped product $((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1, du^2 + \lambda_u^2 dv^2)$, where $\partial_u = \frac{\nabla \lambda}{|\nabla \lambda|}$ and x_0 is identified with $(0, s_0)$. The function $\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ holds $\lambda_u(0) = 0$ and does not have critical points in $(0, \infty)$. Since $\lambda_{uu} \leq 0$, λ becomes negative, which is a contradiction.
- λ has two critical points. Then N is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$, but this is impossible because $K \leq 0$.

Therefore, λ is necessarily constant, equation (12) and (13) implies $K = a = 0$ and the universal covering of M is isometric to the Minkowski space-time. $\square$

Remark 5.5. *The same conclusion of the first part of Theorem 5.4 is obtained in [9], but with slightly different hypothesis. The author starts with a fixed Riemannian 3-dimensional metric and he supposes that different Einstein static spacetimes can be constructed using it. Then, he proves that the metric is locally the one given in (10). Observe that if we have a static manifold with two different static decompositions, a priori, we do not know if the respective bases are isometric.*

Finally, we show that a condition on the lightlike sectional curvature ensures the uniqueness of the static decomposition in the Lorentzian case.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $M = L \times_{-f} \mathbb{R}$ be a static space with $\dim L \geq 2$. If there exists a point $(p, t) \in M$ such that $K(\Pi) \neq 0$ for any degenerate plane Π of $T_{(p,t)}M$, then M admits an unique decomposition as static space.*

Proof. Let V be a (timelike) standard static vector field on M linearly independent to ∂_t at some point and suppose first that L is complete. Using Proposition 4.7, $L = (N \times \mathbb{R}, g_N + \lambda(x)^2 ds^2)$ and $f(x, s) = \lambda(x)c(s)$ and we can show as in Corollary 3.5 that there is a degenerate plane at (p, t) with zero lightlike sectional curvature, which is a contradiction.

Now, although L is not necessarily complete, Proposition 4.7 is valid locally and we can still use the above arguments to show that in a neighborhood U of (p, t) there is a unique static decomposition. Therefore V and ∂_t are linearly dependent in U , but being killing vector fields, this implies that in fact they are linearly dependent in the whole M . $\square$

It would be interesting to have a more accurate classification of static manifolds admitting more than one standard static vector field at least in dimension 3 and 4.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Eschenburg and E. Heintze, *Unique decomposition of Riemannian manifolds*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 3075-3078.

- [2] M. Gutiérrez and B. Olea, *Global decomposition of a Lorentzian manifold as a Generalized Robertson-Walker space*. Differential Geom. Appl. **27** (2009), 146-156.
- [3] M. Gutiérrez and B. Olea, *Semi-Riemannian manifold with doubly warped structure*. arXiv:0905.4919v1.
- [4] W. Kuhnel and H. B. Rademacher, *Conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemann spaces*. Differential Geom. Appl. **7** (1997), 237-250.
- [5] S. Montiel, *Unicity of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in some Riemannian manifolds*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), 711-748.
- [6] B. O'Neill. *Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity*. Academic Press, New York, (1983).
- [7] M. Sánchez and J.M.M. Senovilla, *A note on the uniqueness of global static decompositions*. Class. Quantum Grav. **24** (2007), 6121-6126.
- [8] Y. Tashiro, *Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 251-275.
- [9] K. Tod, *Spatial metrics which are static in many ways*. Gen. Rel. Grav. **32** (2000), 2079-2090.

M. GUTIÉRREZ. DEPARTAMENTO DE ALGEBRA, GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA. UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA. SPAIN.

E-mail address: `mgl@agt.cie.uma.es`

B. OLEA. DEPARTAMENTO DE ALGEBRA, GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA. UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA. SPAIN.

E-mail address: `benji@agt.cie.uma.es`